Cambridge City Council

Record of Executive Decision

Response to the Uttlesford's Draft Local Plan 2021- 2041 (Regulation 18) Consultation

Decision of: Councillor Thornburrow, Executive Councillor for Planning, Building

Control and Infrastructure

Reference: 23 / URGENCY/HSC/18

Date of decision: 07/12/23 Date Published on website: 15/12/23

Decision Type: Non-Key

Matter for Decision: Response to the Uttlesford's Draft Local Plan 2021- 2041

(Regulation 18) Consultation

Why the Decision had to be made (and any alternative options): The Draft Uttlesford Local Plan 2021 – 2041 (Regulation 18) consultation runs between 3 November and 18 December 2023. As a response is required to be submitted within these dates the response is unable to be considered at the next Planning and Transport Scrutiny Committee on 16th January 2024 and must be considered out of cycle. The contents of the Draft Uttlesford Local Plan 2021 – 2041 (Regulation 18) Consultation, could in principle impact on Greater Cambridge and have implications for the emerging joint Greater Cambridge Local Plan. The proposed response seeks to minimise negative and maximise any positive impacts of Uttlesford's Local Plan on Greater Cambridge. Given the proximity of Uttlesford to South Cambridgeshire, the contents of the Uttlesford Local Plan could in principle impact on the emerging joint Greater Cambridge Local Plan, and a joint response from Greater Cambridge is recommended.

Background: Uttlesford District Council has started the process of developing a new Local Plan for the district, which will replace the existing Adopted Local Plan 2005. The preparation of the new local plan has 3 key stages:

- The first stage was undertaken in 2020 with the publication of Uttlesford District Council's Issues and Options First Consultation (November 2020 -April 2021).
- This stage, the Draft Uttlesford Local Plan 2021 2041 (Regulation 18)
 Consultation, is the second stage and sets out the Council's emerging draft Local Plan and preferred Spatial Strategy for consultation. The current consultation runs between 3 November and 18 December 2023. Any further

- emerging evidence, engagement and the responses to this consultation at this stage will be used to prepare the next plan stage, the submission draft of the local plan.
- The third stage of the plan's preparation will be published for a further sixweek period (Regulation 19). At that stage, any comments received will be submitted to the Secretary of State, alongside the Plan and the supporting documents.

Content in the Draft Uttlesford Local Plan relevant to Greater Cambridge includes:

- No new housing allocations are proposed close to the South Cambridgeshire boundary. Instead, new allocations of around 6,000 homes are proposed at existing settlements to meet the identified housing need of 13,680 homes for the plan period, accounting for committed supply (see Core Policy 2: Meeting our Housing Needs).
- The single substantive development proposed in the plan close to Greater Cambridge is an allocation of an additional 18.3ha of employment land within Chesterford Research Park (Core Policy 6), allocating sufficient land to enable the delivery of the full site masterplan as promoted by the Research Park, beyond that which exists or has planning permission. Furthermore the plan provides flexibility to support economic development of unallocated sites within Core Policy 48 should this become required.
- The plan recognises the topic of water stress in the District and the wider area and the impact that this is having on chalk streams, and seeks to address these issues via Core Policy 34: Water Supply and Protection of Water Resources, and Core Policy 35: Chalk Streams. Protection and Enhancement (note key points on this topic in paragraph 10 below).
- The plan seeks to take ambitious climate and biodiversity policy approaches, including for example seeking 20% biodiversity net gain (Core Policy 40: Biodiversity).

The proposed response, set out in full at Appendix 2:

- a. focuses on the topic of water stress in the District and the wider area and the impact that this is having on chalk streams. Proposed response points seek:
 - Further evidence that the level of abstraction required to support the development proposed in Uttlesford's draft Local Plan is sustainable;
 - ii. Enhancements to Core Policy 34: Water Supply and Protection of Water Resources to ensure that its requirements are clearer and that water efficiency standards should be extended to apply to nonresidential development;
 - iii. Enhancements to Core Policy 35: Chalk Streams Protection and Enhancement to ensure that its requirements are clearer and that that there is greater detail on how it will be implemented.
- b. identifies other points including:

- seeking clarification of the transport impacts of the expansion of Chesterford Research Park, and noting the benefit of continued engagement on transport issues
- ii. supporting the ambitious climate and biodiversity policy; approaches set out in the draft plan;
- iii. looking forward to continued engagement with Uttlesford District Council on relevant cross-boundary issues, noting that further work will need to be undertaken to prepare the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

Alternative options:

- 1. The options are:
 - a. Agree the proposed response to the consultation without amendments
 - b. Agree the proposed response to the consultation with amendments
 - c. Decide not to submit a response to the consultation this option is not recommended as the plan would progress without awareness of potential impacts on Greater Cambridge

The Executive Councillor's decision:

Reason for the decision:

Scrutiny Consideration: The Chair and Spokesperson of ... Scrutiny Committee were consulted prior to the action being authorised.

Report: Appendix 1- Background Papers and Appendix 2- The Draft Uttlesford Local Plan 2021 – 2041 (Regulation 18) Consultation proposed joint response from Greater Cambridge.

Conflict of interest: [None].

Comments: The following comments were reived from the Lib Dem Opposition Spokes Councillor Porrer: I am very happy with these comments, particularly with the focus on water scarcity and the need to reduce daily consumption limits for both new housing and non-residential developments. I did wonder if we might want to also note that continuing engagement with the current water scarcity group from Affinity Water would be welcomed (which is implied although not stated directly), but I am happy to be guided by officers on whether this would be appropriate.

The Comments were addressed by the Planning Policy and Strategy Team Leader.

Appendix 1: Background Papers

Background papers used in the preparation of this report:

Emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan

• <u>Greater Cambridge Local Plan - First Proposals | Greater Cambridge</u> Shared Planning (greatercambridgeplanning.org)

Uttlesford Local Plan Issues Engagement consultation documents:

- The Draft Local Plan Uttlesford District Council (https://www.uttlesford.gov.uk/article/8883/The-Draft-Local-Plan)
- <u>Uttlesford Local Plan Reg 18 Evidence Base-</u> https://uttlesfordreg18evidencebase.co.uk/

Joint Response to by Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council to Uttlesford District Council's (Regulation 18) Local Plan Issues and Options consultation (2021)

<u>Decision - Joint Response to Uttlesford District Council's (Regulation 18)</u>
 <u>Local Plan Issues and Options consultation (moderngov.co.uk)</u>

Report Authors:

Charlotte Morgan-Shelbourne - Planning Policy Officer

Telephone: (01954) 713418

Stuart Morris – Team Leader - Planning Policy & Strategy

Telephone: 07514 925 287

Appendix 2: Draft Uttlesford Local Plan 2021 – 2041 (Regulation 18) Consultation – proposed consultation response

This response to the Regulation 18 Uttlesford District Council's Draft Local Plan Consultation is made by Greater Cambridge Shared Planning on behalf of Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council.

The main points the Councils wish to raise relate to the topic of water stress in the District and the wider area, and the impact that this is having on chalk streams. The Councils are supportive of the recognition of these issues in the plan. The headwaters of the River Cam, the River Granta, flow through Uttlesford District and then into Greater Cambridge, and therefore impacts due to abstraction and from pollution will have a direct impact on water flow and water quality downstream. Water cannot be considered just at a local authority level; water resources management is being considered at a regional level by Water Resources East and Water Resources South East and by the individual water companies in their Water Resources Management Plans (WRMP) (which are considered by the Environment Agency and approved by Defra).

It is not clear whether the overall demand for water resulting from the proposals for growth set out in the Draft Uttlesford Local Plan has been considered in relation to the regional water plans and Affinity Water's latest WRMP24, which is currently being considered by Defra for final approval. The Water Cycle Study (WCS) Addendum (JBA, 2023) accompanying the Local Plan provides little evidence that the level of growth proposed in the Local Plan has been taken into account by Affinity Water in their latest 2024 plan. Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the WCS Addendum refer to the Stage 1 WCS report where Affinity Water 'confirmed there were no 'showstoppers' and the level of development in each case did not pose any concerns'. As the level of growth proposed in the preferred option was one of those presented to Affinity Water, the report therefore assumes that 'their conclusion that the level of growth did not pose any concerns for water supply is still valid'. We were unable to find the Stage 1 report on Uttlesford District Council's website, but the

Addendum refers to this being produced in 2022. The Draft Regional Water Plans were consulted upon in November 2022 and Affinity Water have been updating their WRMP and consulted upon this between November 2022 and February 2023. From our experience in Greater Cambridge, we know that the Environment Agency has imposed tighter restrictions on water abstraction where there is a risk of deterioration to waterbodies such as the chalk streams. Therefore, the Councils would like to be reassured that the level of abstraction required to support the development proposed in Uttlesford's draft Local Plan is sustainable and suggest that Uttlesford District Council confirm this with Affinity Water in light of their emerging WRMP24.

The Councils would like to work with Uttlesford District Council to ensure that our Local Plans have strong integrated water management policies, with the aim of protecting and enhancing the rare chalk streams in our areas. To support this aim we have the following comments on relevant policies in the draft plan:

- Core Policy 34: Water Supply and Protection of Water Resources
 - The Councils support the intentions of this policy, but consider that it could be more explicit on how a development must contribute to achieving 'good' status and must not lead to a reduction in groundwater levels or flows in watercourses. It is not clear from the policy how it will be applied in practice.
 - o On water efficiency, a high water efficiency level for new development will be particularly valuable given that the current average water use in the Uttlesford area is particularly high at 161.27 litres per person per day, as set out in paragraph 9.106 of the plan. Whilst we support the proposed policy approach setting a high water efficiency level of 90 litres per person per day in new residential development, references in the policy to Building Regulations— Part G2 are confusing as they are limited to an optional requirement of 110 litres per person per day. It should be noted that the Councils in Greater Cambridge are a proposing a level of 80 litres per person per day in the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan. We are currently working with others to provide an evidence base to support this level, and this evidence may in due course also be available to assist Uttlesford District Council. In order to achieve this level of water efficiency, some form of water

- recycling such as rainwater harvesting or grey water recycling would be required, but the requirements of Core Policy 34 are not yet clear on this matter.
- Core Policy 34 does not provide any required levels of water efficiency for new non-household developments, which we consider should be added to the policy to make it more comprehensive.
- The benefits of integrated water management in new development could be drawn out more in the plan policies to show the benefits of recycling water on reducing flood risk, and the benefits of SuDS in filtering water to improve water quality. Policy 34 could also seek opportunities for aquifer recharge through appropriate land management.
- Core Policy 35: Chalk Streams Protection and Enhancement
 - The Councils support the intentions of this policy, but further detail will be needed on how this would be implemented. The policy suggests that all development proposals within a river basin of a chalk stream must provide a Chalk Stream Impact Study. The area to which such a Study would apply will need to be specified, together with clarification on whether the policy applies to all developments including minor householder applications.
 - The policy requires that developers should contribute proportionate costs and mitigation of addressing any potential impacts. Further detail would be required about how this would be implemented and whether there are particular schemes of improvement to the chalk streams that such costs could fund. The Councils in Greater Cambridge are undertaking a Chalk Streams Enhancement Project to pilot potential schemes which may provide useful examples.

Aside from the water topic, the Councils previously highlighted the commuting connections between Uttlesford and Greater Cambridge in their response to Uttlesford District Council's Issues and Options First Consultation (November 2020 - April 2021). The Councils value ongoing joint working with Uttlesford on transport issues, including via the Royston to Granta Park Strategic Growth and Transport Study. We note the allocation of an additional 18ha of employment land at

Chesterford Research Park, and would be grateful for the clarification of the transport impacts of this given its proximity to Greater Cambridge, noting that the Transport Evidence Topic Paper and Infrastructure Delivery Plan make no reference to the impact of additional jobs provision on travel patterns or the need for infrastructure. Beyond this we will look to continue engagement with Uttlesford on transport impacts and opportunities as our respective plans progress.

Given the cross-boundary (and indeed global) nature of both opportunities and impacts in relation to the climate and biodiversity emergencies, the Councils support the ambitious climate and biodiversity policy approaches set out in the Uttlesford draft plan, including Core Policy 40: Biodiversity which requires development to demonstrate a minimum of 20% net gain in biodiversity. This approach mirrors the Greater Cambridge First Proposals policy approach seeking 20% biodiversity net gain.

In conclusion, as neighbouring authorities to Uttlesford and noting that further work will need to be undertaken to prepare the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan, both Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire District Councils look forward to the continued engagement with Uttlesford District Council on our respective plan-making processes regarding strategic cross-boundary matters of shared interest, including but not limited to the issues identified in the above response.